Minutes of January 18, 2014

Opening Remarks by Vice President Anderson about a loose agenda

Lee: The committee adapts its own bylaws; Academic Affairs has a whole list of things to do. We could use this for structure.

Hubbard: How do you deal with a situation?

Lee: Stipend contract.

Hubbard: Year in and year out, I don’ see this needed to be updated every year. Competition for a Cause is a good goal so it does not need to be in the Senate Bylaws. Goals and Bylaws are different.

Mills: Simms, Chair of Stud life Committee Bylaws but the Senate has to approve. Senate distracts the way he runs his committee.

Sonnet: I get that. To touch on that, goals in the bylaws, the summer retreat we can change what we don’t like. Scholarships only required thing to do. Everything we do.

Hubbard: Haha!

Sonnet: We could sit on our ass all day if we finished scholarships. Establishing a mission statement would work. We need a way to enforce what we are doing on these committees, a direction.

Hubbard: I see the Bylaws as structure not for goals. Student Life responsibilities for the year. Established standard for each year, everything that falls under that is goals. Bylaws is for structure for the committee. Goals later.

Lee: Committee bylaw that would

Berriault: I don’t know if that will work. I would like Bylaws in the committee.

Lee: Straw poll to see if we would like them in the senate bylaws or in committee bylaws. 9 votes for senate, 2 votes for committee.

Hubbard: People really? It’s not in our bylaws, but, it is expected that this committee does them.
Lee: The committee should have discretion. Academic Affairs is profoundly vague.

Hubbard: I agree with what you. I don’t want to force a committee to have to do it.

Lee: Or I can write it in such a way.

Alsaqir: Be careful that this is an actual mandatory thing.

Berriault: Member Abandonment. Section 1.7 second proposal on this topic.

Hubbard: Personally, I am pro involvement. I do not like having to share x information on their absence or Senator Performance. The executive board should deal with this. Not 32 Senators.

Berriault: I don’t want us to lose focus of what this means. Not for excused absence it’s for those that disappear. Section B member in question is given the opportunity to give an explanation to their absence. Under the attendance section of the Bylaws. “Reads said sections” After this is when 1.7 goes into effect. It’s a last resort.

Hubbard: What is the justification for having to do this in front of the entire Senate?

Lee: Tough, because we have to. Confidentially supersedes the level it has to.

Havi: We do need provitoon in this aspect. I have an issue. May be deemed by the Vice President. Remove VP and put in Internal Affairs Section B replace with IA. We don’t need more then I can’t do it. Keep A, C, D and replace VP with IA.

Kitchener: The process of this is that they want to stay on. We need to give them the option. Ebaord or Senate.

Mills: No set membership. We are over contemplating a bit.

Berriault: This does make it more complicated because it is designed too.

Pettrika: Section A, I don’t agree that it should just be Ebaord/ I like IA. Section B, if we disappeared for 2 weeks should not have to be. One of those options, but not everyone.

Sonnet: Few things. I understand that it should be a long process. When discussing in October, if someone gets in contact with us they are done. They lost stipend and can appeal. Just because they missed 2 weeks they are back wouldn’t be my responsibility. This is for the people who have resigned and won’t come back. What about those that come back. They shouldn’t have to go through the process.

Lee: Recommendation of Ebaord to Senate to sign

Anderson: Pass the chair for a sec. People are saying that this should be a difficult process. To me this is already a long process. TO me this is already a long process, stipend takes 3 weeks
Anyway. All these checks would be involved anyway. They should come to the president. Ebaord addressing Senate.

Havi: If a member is not preforming to Senate they should be brought to IA. Senate is responsible for 1 meeting a week plus committee work. Can move forward without section B.

Hubbard: School trusts the Ebaord.

Kitchener: I thought I was in support. If you are not, something serious goes on and removal from Senate.

Havi: The last few people mentioned what can we do to better for impeachment process.

Mills: Removal from office only works in October.

Christiano: We should see how other governing bodies do this and then make an informed decision on how we would like to do this.

Anderson: Friday 11:00 weekly meeting. BTW

Kitchener: Stipends. I would like to set up a more formal process. I want to wave my stipend to have money go to clubs.

Fox: Would differ money to something else?

Measho: How?

Kitchener: By giving the club my stipend.

Anderson: After VP does stipend review, at the bottom of the email there could be an option to differ money to club funding. My report would not who gave done so.

Fallanca: Donates the check to my club?

Anderson: Could be an option or Bylaws contract. Moving on.

Berriault: Can we talk about member abandonment?

Anderson: I will until 3:35pm.

Fallanca: I spoke with another Senator and abandonment and Impeachment are different.

Anderson: Pass the chair. At least 3 months of time in between and no contact.

Pattrika: Mixing abandonment and impeachment to avoid what this pain in the ass.

Berriault: I will go over my point again. I don’t want a quick and easy process. We should make it as difficult as possible to remove a member. Impeachment and removal are the same. First of
all, this Bylaw is not about this year’s Ebaord, it’s about future. I don’t have a problem with our Ebaord. I want the recommendations. We should postpone this to next week. No one is listening to me.

Anderson: Impeachment only affects Ebaord?

Hubbard: I don’t agree with this at all. The student body elects this person and they have abandoned their seat and we should fill it with someone that cares. The Ebaord should do this. A person has given up their responsibility to the student body. 2/3 vote would be appropriate. We should not draw out this process. Lee’s request is the most appropriate way to go about this.

Lee: There are some sides. Let’s take a straw poll.

Sonnet: We need to figure out the power roles and lines.

Lee: I will be happy to draft that up.

Mills: We should make this a time consuming process.

Pettrika: Motion to adjourn at 3:30pm.

Passed by unanimous consent