November 20, 2013 Attendance:
Present: President Choplick, Vice President Anderson, Treasurer Mills, Senators Alsaqri, Berriault, Bosworth, Cahill, Cassidy, Christiano, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fox, Fung, Frey, Germaine, Gomez, Gregonis, Hubbard, Hudobenko, Kane, Kayan, Khan, Kitchener, Lee, Measho, Moody, Moreno, Ott, Perry, Pietrycha, Rodriguez, Rongey, Sonet, Swan, Testa

Meeting called to order at 3:08 p.m. by President Choplick

Motion to accept the minutes from November 06, 2013 (Christiano, Cassidy)
Passes Unanimously

Public Hearing: None

Student Affairs Report: Scott Kazar

PRIDE planned the Drag show late so they were given a weekend central grant for food and novelties. Trying to get the novelty would have been pushing it but we were working on it, they asked Nate if they could spend more money on prizes since they wouldn't be getting the novelty and Nate said okay without telling me so I went ahead with the novelty.

Hazan: the money they overspent out of was the Weekend Central grant it wouldn't have been their budget

Mills: so not spent from their base budget

Hazan: they were told that money was coming from weekend central

Hubbard: so they were only aware of this after the fact

Hazan: yes

Christiano: so they didn’t understand what was happening

Hazan: it was a miscommunication but they believed they had the money to spend
**Treasurer’s Report**

1. We have $28,914 in contingency budget left and have spent over $4000 this money
2. I cant give an accurate for use by senate until I have receipts from Public Affairs
3. Reserves we have $326,531.18

Measho: what happened with the LAX team

Mills: I’ll talk about it in the finance report

**Vice President’s Report**

1. Liaison requirements were due by 3p today
2. Read over the email I sent

Berriault: you sent something about secret senate?

Anderson: that’s unofficial; I didn’t want it brought up on the senate floor

Rodriguez: Are there any other requirements for stipend?

Anderson: after today no

**President’s Report**

1. In regards to the recommendation with PRIDE, I would split that motion in two
2. If clubs contact you about events please remind them to put them on the Link

**Committee Reports**

Lee: Academic Affairs: Meeting Friday, 4p in the 1849 room. To update you on what’s been going on we recently helped with a professor who changed the class time without okaying it through the department/ we are still looking to fill seats on the academic standards and academic integrity committee

Sonet: Student Life: Friday 4p in the Clock Tower room. We are going to be going over scholarships and hopefully get them finished/ the POD has to be cleared out so if you can help please do

Ott: Public Affairs: we are trying to push the promo items and really promote what SGA means/ conference applications are done and we are going to be going over them and hopefully have students picked by Nov. 26
Mills: Finance: there will be a finance meeting Monday but I won't be taking attendance because I told you there wasn't one. PRIDE, don't make that recommendation as is deny it and make another motion

POI Choplick: if you deny it wouldn't you not be able to bring up another motion similar to it

Mills: just ignore that motion/ athletic trainers have a $2000 contingency request for a conference, $1600 for registration and $400 for accommodations for 20 students they can request this again because it was denied for lack of information and they came back with more info/ the golf club new base budget for $3680 with money for the Stanley course and range and NCCGA league fees but cutting the golf passes and jerseys/ ASME wants to move travel to refreshments/ A Cappella Society wants to line item $250 from conferences to recording and live sound to split between the groups/ LAX came with a $17,000 contingency request and said they could only go if they are funded a minimum of $13,000 so we decided to deny it. they needed a trip like this last year so it's not new but they chose to go closer last year and I still need to meet with them

Measho: team or eboard

Mills: treasurer and president

Kitchener: can you explain the argument with the golf passes because it jumps around

Mills: we talked about it at length and did a straw poll with the majority saying to cut them

Anderson: Internal Affairs: our meeting will be 7p in the SGA Office going over stipend review

Unfinished Business: None

New Business:

Motion to accept finance committee recommendation FC14-049 (Hudobenko, Alsaqri)

For: Hudobenko: ATC wants to take 20 people to a conference for $2,000 it's a good recommendation that we should pass

Vote: 34Y (Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Berriault, Bosworth, Cahill, Cassidy, Christiano, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Germaine, Gomez, Gregonis, Hubbard, Hudobenko, Kane, Kayan, Khan, Kitchener, Lee, Measho, Moody, Moreno, Ott, Perry, Pietycha, Rodriguez, Rongey, Sonet, Swan, TestA) 0N 0A
Motion to approve Finance Committee recommendation FC14-050 (Berriault, Alsaqri)

For: Berriault: the Golf Club is a new club that’s just been recognized and they need money for a new base budget. We cut the jerseys and transportation because they can both be funded out of pocket and we cut the golf passes because they were for individuals all year round but we funded the Stanley course and range and league fees

Move to amend to strike $3680 and insert $4140 and after NCCGA insert “, with $460 going towards the college golf passes.” (Kitchener, Germaine)

Objection to the consideration of the motion (Hubbard)

Choplick: No debate, yes is to hear it no is to object

Vote: 23Y 10N 1A (Sonet)

Motion will be heard

For: Kitchener: when they originally presented I was impressed. They are paying $125 in dues and we object to personal use coming from student activity fees (SAF) but that happens all the time, it happened with center stage and scholarships. Golf needs to practices and they are contributing money

Against: Hubbard: these are points that were raised in finance and yes what you learn from things that are provided with SAF you can take with you but this pass is for one person and one person only. I have an issue with them being used outside of the academic year and can be utilized for outside club use

Berriault: motion to amend to strike $4140 and insert...

Choplick: this changes the intent of the amendment

Lee: point of order: you made your call

Berriault: appeal to the decision of the chair

For: Choplick: I made this based on the idea that Sen. Kitchener wanted these funded in full

Against: Berriault: this would be funding them in full they are only asking for $290

POI Measho: what is happening

Choplick: a yes would sustain my decision and a no would be to hear the amendment

For: Fallanca: any motion to change the monetary amount shouldn’t be heard because at the end it says to deny the rest
Vote: 20Y 8N 6A (Gomez, Gregonis, Rongey, Fox, Fung, Cassidy)

**Sustain the decision**

Kitchener: I withdraw my motion

Motion to amend and strike $3690 and insert $3970 and at the end insert “, and $290 for the college golf passes” (Berriault, Kitchener)

Choplick: I will not hear this motion because I believe it changes the intent of the original motion

**Object to the consideration of the chair (Berriault, Kitchener)**

Choplick: I believe any addition or subtraction of a line item would be changing the intent because it was said to deny the rest

Berriault: you set a precedent by hearing Sen. Kitchener’s motion and everyone’s voice should be heard

Mills: one instance doesn’t make a precedent and he would have objected if given the chance

POI Choplick to Mills: why did you deny the rest

Mills: so that this couldn’t be brought back up again

Kitchener: we shouldn't punish anyone because I screwed up and said the wrong number

Sonet: there was an instance a few weeks ago like this and the amendment wasn't heard so that should be the precedent set. We need to be consistent there was a debate in finance committee and this was the compromise and that's what we should accept

Berriault: we used to amend this all the time and I don't think anyone on finance should have more power than anyone else on the senate, we should amend things. I don't think this changes the intent to fund their base budget

Fernandez: no one on senate is against amendments, just ones that change the intent. We need to trust President Choplick a mistake was made we need to acknowledge that and move on

POI Measho to Mills: what do club sports pay to practice?

Lee: Point of Order: not speaking on the appeal

Well taken
POI Berriault to Moll: can you explain how the vote works in finance

Mills: the nine members get a vote if one of them isn't there then the next senator in the room will take their place

Call to previous question (Christiano)

Choplick: that can't be done

POI Germaine: what is a yes and no vote

Choplick: yes is to sustain and no is to hear it

Berriault: Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: can we do this my roll call

Choplick: yes

I will admit I made a mistake in hearing the original motion but the precedent was set two weeks ago when I didn't hear the amendment. I think an addition or subtraction of a line item changes the intent. Eric Bergenn would hear them but I am not Eric Bergenn and I interpret things differently then he did

Yields time for questions

Measho: this money would be to use the fields

Choplick: yes this is objecting to my not hearing the amendment

Measho: teams pay now to play so golf club

Point of Order: Kitchener: not germane

Well taken

Kitchener: what is the difference between what Hubbard did and this?

Choplick: I couldn’t speak because Hubbard objected, since I am not hearing it I can speak

Vote: 21Y (Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Cahill, Cassidy, Christiano, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fung, Hubbard, Hudobenko, Kane, Khan, Lee, Moody, Ott, Perry, Rongey, Sonet, Swan, Testa) 13N (Berriault, Bosworth, DiBacco, Fox, Frey, Germaine, Gomez, Gregonis, Kayan, Kitchener, Measho, Moreno, Pietrycha) 1A (Rodriguez)

**Decision upheld**

Back to Golf Club Motion
Against: now they we set this precedent I think we should vote this down and make a new motion to include the passes

For: Mills: we argued the heck out of this in finance and we as a committee agreed the passes shouldn’t be funded because they can be used when school is not in session, our other sports only get funded for during the academic year, this doesn’t mean I am opposed to SAF being used on individual things

Against: Berriault: the passes are $490 they are only asking us to fund $290 of that which is about 55%

Fernandez: point of order: not germane

Choplick: this motion doesn’t include the passes

Berriault: we should hear a motion that includes the passes

POI Alsaqri: if this is voted down can we entertain another motion

Choplick: yes but with changes

For: Fernandez: we looked at this for a long time and the final vote was 8-1 this was a compromise remember that

Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Hubbard: can we motion to lay the whole motion on the table or just the amendment

Choplick: we’re not on an amendment

POI Mills to Berriault: did you vote yes in finance for this

Berriault: the record will show how I voted

Point of Order: Kitchener: personal attack

Well taken

Motion to lay on the table until open floor (Moreno, Berriault)

Vote: 29Y 6N 0A

Motion is tabled

Motion to suspend the rules and move to open floor (Berriault, Fernandez)

Point of order: Hudobenko: too loud
Well taken

Vote: 3Y 26N 5A (Bosworth, Rongey, Fox, Sonet, Hudobenko)

**Motion to approve finance committee recommendation FC14-051 (Fallanca, Alsaqri)**

For: Fallanca: they don’t want to go to Boston but want to use the money for an on campus speaker event

Against: Ott: I don’t like that we think well it’s their money let them decide, we allocated this money for a reason

For: Sonet: this is appropriate If a club changed the way they wanted to do things let them

Against: Ott: I don’t like that it’s a way to get extra money

For: Berriault: this is a good on campus event

Vote: 28Y (Anderson, Mills, Senators Alsaqri, Berriault, Cahill, Christiano, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Germaine, Gomez, Gregonis, Hubbard, Hudobenko, Kane, Khan, Kitchener, Lee, Measho, Moody, Moreno, Pietrycha, Rodriguez, Sonet, Swan, TestA) 1N (Ott) 0A

**Motion Passes**

**Motion to approve finance committee recommendation FC14-052 (Rodriguez, Khan)**

For: Rodriguez: they want to move extra money that they had in conferences to live sound and recording

Against: Ott: I have the same problems as I did before

For: Mills: we did an awful job on base budgets so line items are a way to rectify our mistakes. A cappella society is a large organization that is usually more of a special case because of how it is set up

Against: Ott: just because it is a large club does not mean it should get special treatment

For: Sonet: one group didn’t want to apply for a competition so they chose to use the money for other things, it matches their mission statement

Against: Christiano: I’m not comfortable paying for live sound and recording when some clubs pay dues

For: Berriault: not our job to prioritize what a club wants to use the money for, we shouldn’t judge their wants and needs. Base this on the merit
Vote: 20Y (Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Berriault, Cahill, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Frey, Gomez, Gregonis, Hudobenko, Kane, Lee, Measho, Moody, Moreno, Pietrycha, Rodriguez, Sonet,) 1N (Ott) 4A (Christiano, Fox, Hubbard, Swan)

Motion Passes  SG14-080

Motion to accept finance committee recommendation FC14-053 (Fallanca, Alsaqri)

For: Fallanca: the LAX team requested $17,000 and needed a minimum of $13,000 for this trip. We can’t afford that for them to play 3 out of conference games. They did this last year and went closer for cheaper, this is more luxury then anything

POI Measho to Mills: if this is denied can they come back and ask for it

Mills: if it’s a different event in a different area

Vote: 21Y Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Berriault, Cahill, Christiano, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Gomez, Gregonis, Hudobenko, Kane, Lee, Moody, Moreno, Pietrycha, Sonet, Testa) 1N (Ott) 1A (Measho)

Motion Passes  SG14-081

Move to postpone FC14-048 indefinitely (Berriault, Ott)

Choplick: the motion has to be on the table

POI Fallanca: best way to divide the motion?

Choplick: make them separately

POI Berriault: can't we just take no action

Choplick: if two motions are made then I will ignore this motion

Motion to deny FC14-048 (Fallanca, Pietrycha)

For: Fallanca: this was the best way to split things

POI Measho: didn't you say the best way to split things was to deny the first part first

Choplick: yes two different motions should be made, if this is denied we can't hear other motions

Fallanca: I withdraw my motion
Fox: Move item A
No second

**Move to deny PRIDE’s line item change (Lee, Pietrycha)**

For: Lee: it doesn’t make sense to retroactively approve something

POI Measho to Mills: rationale for denying this?

Mills: PRIDE spent out of line item so we decided to deny the request

Against: Berriault: it wasn’t their intention to mislead up, we haven’t debated the merits of this request which is what we should be doing. They were spending money that they thought they had and had money for in the base budget we wouldn’t have even known about this if it wasn’t brought to our attention

For: Mills: but we do know about it and this request was done after the fact

POI Measho: what’s the difference between a yes and no vote

Choplick: yes is to deny

Vote: 17Y (Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Berriault, DiBacco, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Kane, Lee, Moody, Moreno, Ott, Pietrycha, Rodriguez, Sonet, Testa) 5N (Fallanca, Gomez, Gregonis, Hudobenko, Measho) 1A (Cahill)

Motion Passes

**Move to place PRIDE on probation (Berriault, Pietrycha)**

For: Berriault: if you look at the section of our by-laws probation sounds serious but it’s not it’s just a way to help the clubs

Against: Lee: this wasn’t this club’s fault they were told they could do this by their PA and we shouldn’t punish them for it

For: Fernandez: yes we don’t govern what SALD does but we govern the clubs and this is proper procedure

Against: Sonet: I understand the points but this didn’t find out about any of this until after the fact and we shouldn’t be punishing them because regardless this is what probation is. If we do this we are forcing them to take all of the blame and they are not the ones who made the mistake
For: Berriault: the club is responsible for knowing their finances this is why they have club training

Christiano: point of order: not germane

Not well taken

Berriault: at the end of the day they were responsible, we aren't freezing their accounts we are just saying they have to meet with Kory more often

Against: Lee: if the bank told you you had money in your account and then spent that but it turns out you didn’t have the money and over drafted you would blame the bank

For: Fernandez: SALD isn’t the club bank

Against: Mills: they were unaware of misspending the money they were acting on info from their PA

Call to previous question (Christiano, Cahill)

Vote: 24Y 2N 0A

Debate is ended

Probation Vote: 0Y 25N (Anderson, Mills, Alsaqri, Berriault, Cristiano, DiBacco, Fallanca, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Gomez, Gregonis, Hubbard, Hudobenko, Kane, Lee, Measho, Moody, Moreno, Ott, Pietrycha, Rodriguez, Sonet, Swan, Testa) 1A (Cahill)

Motion Fails

Open Floor

Tabled Golf Motion

Point of parliamentary inquiry: Hubbard: don’t we have to motion to bring this back

Choplick: no because there was a time stamp to the postponement

Motion to lay on the table (Alsaqri, Measho)

Vote: 20Y 3N 1A (Swan)

Measho: explain the rules of open floor

Choplick: there are no rules
President of the Golf Club: Nick Pisanelli: the $1200 for Stanley course was taking into account the discounted prices so if we don’t get up that price doubles. We understand not wanting to pay for summer but our dues would essentially be paying for those weeks. We could do $20 a semester but we felt $49 was the better deal

Fernandez to Pisanelli: how many members do you have

Pisanelli: 10

Sonet to Pisanelli: so these numbers were based on having the passes?

Pisanelli: yes

Measho to Pisanelli: the budget increases without them

Pisanelli: yes we would then need $2400

Motion to approve the Golf Club new base budget for $3970 with $1000 going to Stanley Range, $1200 going to Stanley Course, $290 for the college golf passes and $1480 for the NCCGA from SG9018 and to deny the rest (Fallanca, Gomez)

For: Fallanca: we all talked about it and I think this is the best way, we are only paying for the academic yes, they need league fees and jerseys are still not needed

Against: Anderson: having this pass is like having an individual society membership and we cut those last year

For: Berriault: we have things that benefit us as individuals from SAF like our stipends, things are done here year round SALD does things in the summer with SAF

Point of order: Berriault: too noisy

Well taken

Berriault: if we don’t fund these it will cost us more so we should do this

Against: Mills: SGA doesn’t only get SAF so we can’t judge how other organizations choose to spend money however I agree with VP Anderson, we should follow the guidelines set by our base budget process last year

POI Measho to Mills: are you suggesting we change what happened last year

Mills: I will change that but right now for this fiscal year we should still follow them

Move to strike $1200 and insert $2400 (Sonet, Fox)
For: Sonet: I didn’t strike the pass because that’s getting rid of a line item but this isn’t the discounted rate and they can still play.

Against: Hubbard: as this stands we’d be giving the full rate as well as the passes.

**Sonet: withdraw my motion**

**Fallanca: I withdraw my motion**

Motion to postpone (Fox)

Choplick: you can’t with nothing on the table.

**Move to allocate $3970 to the Golf Club with $1000 going to the Stanley range, $1200 going to the Stanley Course, $1480 going to the NCCGA and $290 to the golf passes from SG9018 and to deny the rest**

**Sonet: objection to the consideration of the motion**

Vote: 4Y 18N 3A (Gomez, Moody, Moreno)

Berriault: point of parliamentary inquiry: this isn’t item C.

Choplick: it’s similar adding the golf passes.

Choplick: I don’t know why there was an objection to that.

**Motion to approve the golf club $4880 with $1000 going to Stanley range, $2400 going to the Stanley course and $1480 to the NCCGA from SG9018 and to deny the rest (Pietrycha, Anderson)**

For: Pietrycha: we want them to practice we obviously don’t agree with personal passes.

**Move to refer to finance (Berriault, Alsaqri)**

For: Berriault: we’re missing half the room and this isn’t immediate with winter coming.

Against: Anderson: we have quorum we should go on with our business.

For: Fox: I agree but we were given new info that should be talked about.

Against: Hubbard: we have quorum we would just argue about the same thing in finance, and this could be time sensitive because the driving range is open year round.

For: Berriault: we are close to losing quorum and I’d rather think things over to make the right decisions because things are still unclear.
Against: Mills: we have a full agenda in finance we really should just talk on this now

Call to previous question (DiBacco, Christiano)

Vote: 18Y 0N 1A (Fox)

Vote: 3Y 19N 0A

Referral fails

POI Testa: so we are debating whether we should pay more or just give them the pass

Choplick: this motion would add $1200 to the course line item

POI Measho to Mills: you mentioned we only had a certain amount for the rest of the month?

Mills: that was contingency; we have $15,000 for new base budgets

Vote: 14Y (Anderson, Alsaqri, Christiano, DiBacco, Fernandez, Fox, Frey, Hubbard, Measho, Moreno, Ott, Pietrycha, Sonet, Swan) 5N (Mills, Cahill, Hudobenko, Rodriguez, Testa) 1A (Lee)

Motion Passes

POI Measho: why was it brought to vote if we had all the info we should've asked the president if it was acceptable?

Choplick: once in a motion no one from the gallery can speak

Motion to approve the resolution regarding the implementation of a handicapped door to the Main Office of the SGA (Christiano, Hudobenko)

For: Christiano: we need this to happen so that our office is available to all students

Against: Sonet: I heard concerns about the wording and because of the importance of this I think it should wait

Motion to postpone until Dec. 4 (Hubbard, Rodriguez)

For: Hubbard: this is our next meeting and concerns were expressed that we should take into account

POI Measho: when's the next meeting

Choplick: Dec. 4
Against: Ott: if this doesn’t pass it won’t happen until the fall

POI Pietrycha to Christiano: why would this be pushed back a year

Christiano: If it doesn’t pass it won’t go on the semester to do list for the spring

For: Hubbard: Dec. 4 is still this semester so I hope it’d still be able to be put on

Against: Fernandez: this is a good thing despite the wording

POI Hubbard: can you explain motion to amend something previously adopted

Choplick: the next meeting you can motion to amend something that had been passed previously

Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Fox: can people who aren’t hear do that and vote

Choplick: yes they wouldn’t be able to if it was a motion to reconsider

Against: Christiano: nothing can happen unless I get this backed by SUBOG which won’t happen if I have to wait until Dec. 5

Call to previous question (Hudobenko, Ott)

Vote: 13Y 5N 2A (Fox, Sonet)

Debate is ended

Postponement Vote: 2Y 16N 2A (Hubbard, Swan)

**Postponement fails**

Main Motion

POI Pietrycha to Christiano: why does this have to be a resolution?

Christiano: that is what I was advised to do because it is more official and becomes more of a priority

POI Lee: can we be flexible with the wording

Choplick: you can add a stipulation that the president revises it

**Move to amend and insert a stipulation so the President can revise the wording (Fernandez, Pietrycha)**

For: Fernandez: we are arguing the wording not the merit
POI Sonet: will you work with Teige

Choplick: yes

Vote: 18Y 0N 2A (Fox, Cahill)

**Amendment Passes**

POI Testa to Christiano: if it's a safety issue shouldn't it be priority already

Christiano: I don’t know why it’s not

Vote: 19Y 0N 1A (Cahill)

![Motion Passes](https://example.com)

Choplick: reminder we lose the room in 15 minutes

Swan: Divisi and Fermata the Blue concerts this weekend

Fernandez: anyway we can get finance minutes earlier than a few hours before the meeting

Choplick: our UA has an internship in NJ on Tuesdays but we can think of things

Motion to adjourn (Frey, Fernandez)

Vote 12Y 7N 0A

Meeting is adjourned at 5:48p

Respectfully submitted by SGA University Assistant Brittany Burke.