ACADEMIC STANDARDS
September 7, 1999
Marcus White Living Room 3:30 -5

Members Present - Ross Baiera (Arts & Sciences), Linda Barile (Health & Human Services), Francis Keefe (Administration); Zbigniew Prusak (Technology), Barry Westcott (Chemistry), Ron Moss (Ed. Prof.), David Smith (Math), John Mellon (Marketing), Richard Benfield (Geography), Louise Williams (History), Charles Mate-Kole (Psychology), Laura Hauerwas (Special Education) and James Fox (Registrar).

Meeting was called to order by Barry Westcott at 3:30
Minutes of May 4, 1999 were accepted by John Mellon and seconded by Richard Benfield:

Chairman's announcements -
Chairman Westcott welcomed new members to Academic Standards Committee
To make the meetings more efficient and to move business along, Chairman Westcott requested that anecdotal stories be kept to a minimum.

Agenda for the Year
The agenda for the year was reviewed with some recommendations and direction

1. Undergraduate Admissions - Discussion - Undergraduate admission requirements were reviewed with discussion regarding SAT scores and preparatory work in math and sciences. Recommendation - Chairman Westcott would invite Barbara Lucas from Admissions to comment on the admission policy and to randomly sample students looking at the requirements, remediation, problems and success in college.

2. Remediation - Discussion centered around the issue of whether CCSU should be involved in remediation i.e., Math and English placement and remediation of 099 courses questioning the validity of the exam, usefulness, what other universities have done to eliminate the need for remediation and whether we have been successful in this area. Recommendation - Gather more data from the departments to address this issue.

3. Undergraduate enrollment in 500 level courses - Discussion ensued regarding the prevalence of this policy, whether undergraduates help graduate courses in enrollment issues, and whether the instructor and/or Deans should have an input as to whether undergraduates should be allowed to take these courses.

4. Academic Dishonesty Appeals - Discussion - Grade Appeals committee will not review a grade appeal if cheating is involved. The appeal would be directed to Student Affairs. The Grade Appeal is a subcommittee of Academic Standards. Recommendation - Dean Ross Baierra put forth a motion that the Grade Appeal Committee should consider all appeals regardless of the circumstances by which the grade was given. This motion was seconded by James Fox and unanimously
approved by the members. It is the intent of Academic Standards and this
motion that Grade Appeals should hear appeals even if for suspected cheating.

New Business
Dean Ross Baiera brought up the discussion of **Double Majors** catalogue
language. Deans Ross Baiera, Ron Moss and Jim Fox agreed to form a
subcommittee and report their recommendations at the November meeting.
Dean Ross Baiera also asked that Independent Study be placed on the agenda
for discussion.

**Motion to adjourn** made by Charles Mate-Kole and seconded by Ron Moss.
Unanimous approval. The meeting was adjourned at 4:48 p.m.
Minutes submitted by Linda Barile, Secretary