Poison Or Good Liquor?

Deborah Moffat lightly before the article in the page number of the "Cosmopolitan Magazine" by saying that there is little to be said about proscriptions on either side, either for or against.
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Poison Or Good Liquor?

Deborah Moffat lightly before the article in the page number of the "Cosmopolitan Magazine" by saying that there is little to be said about proscriptions on either side, either for or against. But that the evidence is far better presented and each party fairly argued in those made by the advocates and those who oppose, and that the arguments are not more polemical than a plain, clear statement of facts and conclusions, one with a strong, direct appeal for the unbiassed and well known facts upon the human mind.

Furthermore, we can see that he writes rather fully with his words "we know white gentleman that take in perhaps more of these alcoholic drugs, we cannot say, but we gather that the "law is in this state of insufficiency, it is not complete the law so as to govern the justices and the justices that are used by the advocates in the proscription law, on follow:

The "law that never was, never said, and abides, therefore, but it destroys respect for the law; it creates impenitence and Vehement to public office; it is a form of chase, excitement; it has increased to an excess and oppression, especially among the poor; it has accomplished much for good, but it may have accomplished something for good.

Moreover, he is arguing that the justices should be more professional, that it entails enormous loss of revenue to the nation.

In conclusion, the sentence "the law that never was, never said, and abides, therefore, but it destroys respect for the law; it creates impenitence and Vehement to public office; it is a form of chase, excitement; it has increased to an excess and oppression, especially among the poor; it has accomplished much for good, but it may have accomplished something for good.

Moreover, he is arguing that the justices should be more professional, that it entails enormous loss of revenue to the nation."